## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

| In re:                     | )      | Chapter 9             |
|----------------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, | )      | Case No. 13-53846     |
| Debtor.                    | )<br>) | Hon. Steven W. Rhodes |

# PROPOSED ALLOCATION OF TIME AND ORDER OF PRESENTATION OF ELIGIBILITY OBJECTIONS AT THE OCTOBER 15, 2013 <a href="https://www.ccm/scheduled-hearing">SCHEDULED HEARING</a>

Pursuant to section IV of the First Amended Order Regarding Eligibility Objections Notices of Hearings and Certifications Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2403(a) & (b) [Dkt. No. 821] (the "<u>Eligibility Objection Scheduling Order</u>"), the proposed allocation of time and the order of presentation of eligibility objections at the October 15, 2013 scheduled hearing is as follows:

1) 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

| Order of                  | Allocated    | Objector Presenting            |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Presentation <sup>1</sup> | Time         |                                |
|                           | Introduction | Robert D. Gordon               |
| 1.                        | 35 minutes   | AFSCME                         |
| 2.                        | 35 minutes   | Retiree Committee              |
| 3.                        | 10 minutes   | Retired Detroit Police Members |
|                           |              | Association                    |

The Order of Presentation does not strictly correspond to the issues

identified by number in the Amended Eligibility Scheduling Order.

13-53846-tjt Doc 1183 Filed 10/14/13 Entered 10/14/13 15:10:30 Page 1 of 4

| 4. | 10 minutes | David Sole                   |
|----|------------|------------------------------|
| 5. | 5 minutes  | Krystal Crittendon           |
| 6. | 5 minutes  | Retiree Association Parties  |
| 7. | 5 minutes  | Center for Community Justice |
|    |            | and Advocacy                 |

# 2) 1:30 p.m. – 3:00 p.m.

| Order of     | Allocated  | Objector Presenting          |
|--------------|------------|------------------------------|
| Presentation | Time       |                              |
|              |            |                              |
| 1.           | 35 minutes | Detroit Retirement Systems   |
| 2.           | 35 minutes | UAW                          |
| 3.           | 5 minutes  | Flowers Plaintiffs           |
| 4.           | 10 minutes | Detroit Public Safety Unions |

The above proposal is based upon several conversations among the objecting parties. The proposal was circulated to all counsel of record for the objecting parties by electronic mail on October 14, 2013 at 12:28 p.m. (Eastern). As of 2:00 p.m. on October 14, 2013, the following objecting parties have affirmatively agreed to, or have not opposed, this proposal: (1) the Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit and the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit; (2) Michigan Council 25 of the American Federation of State, County & Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO and Sub-Chapter 98, City of Detroit Retirees; (3) the Official Committee of Retirees; (4) International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America; (5) Robbie Flowers, Michael Wells, Janet Whitson, Mary Washington and Bruce Goldman; (6) Retired

Detroit Police Members Association; (7) Retired Detroit Police & Fire Fighters Association, Donald Taylor, the Detroit Retired City Employees Association, and Shirley V. Lightsey; (8) The Detroit Fire Fighters Association, the Detroit Police Officers Association, the Detroit Police Lieutenants & Sergeants Association, and the Detroit Police Command Officers Association; (9) David Sole; (10) Center for Community Justice and Advocacy; (11) Local 324, International Union of Operating Engineers and Local 517M, Service Employees International Union; and (12) Krystal Crittendon.

The above identified parties have affirmatively agreed to, or have not opposed, the following language: Because the objecting parties as a group are required to comply with the time limits for the oral argument established in the [Eligibility Objection Scheduling Order], some of the objecting parties may be limited in their oral argument on particular legal objections. Therefore, the fact that an objecting party did not present oral argument on a particular legal objection does not constitute a waiver of any written objection made by that party or the right to rely on the arguments of the other objectors.

## Respectfully submitted,

#### CLARK HILL PLC

/s/ Robert D. Gordon
Robert D. Gordon (P48627)
Shannon L. Deeby (P60242)
151 South Old Woodward Avenue
Suite 200
Birmingham, Michigan 48009

Telephone: (248) 988-5882 Facsimile: (248) 988-2502 rgordon@clarkhill.com sdeeby@clarkhill.com

Counsel to the Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit and the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit

Date: October 14, 2013